

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,324	02/06/2004	Michael A. Zeligs	9439-013-999	8529
20583 JONES DAY	7590 05/04/2007		EXAMINER	
222 EAST 41S	222 EAST 41ST ST		WANG, SHENGJUN	
NEW YORK, I	NY 1001/		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Occurrence	10/774,324	ZELIGS, MICHAE	ZELIGS, MICHAEL A.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Shengjun Wang	1617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2] - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this (ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
	—· s action is non-final.	•		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		tters prosecution as to th	a marite is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	·	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application	۱.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers	,			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	FR 1 121/d)	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	r priority under 60 0.0.0.	3 1 10(4) (4) 01 (1).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage	
application from the International Burea	-		Clago	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	t received.		
	·			
Attachmont/o				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 استعمال	Cumman (DTO 442)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application	•	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	· ·		

Application/Control Number: 10/774,324 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a method of treating a papillomavirus related epithelial disorder comprising administering a iron/zinc chelators and one cruciferous indoles, classified in class 514, subclass 415.
 - II. Claims 20-31, drawn to a composition comprising an iron/zinc chelators and one cruciferous indoles, classified in class 514, subclass 415.
- 2. Inventions group II and group I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as the cruciferous indole alone.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Claims 1-31 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: A) the chelators, and B) cruciferous indoles. The species are independent or distinct because of the

Application/Control Number: 10/774,324

Art Unit: 1617

structural differences among the chelators and among the cruciferous indoles. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,324

Art Unit: 1617

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Art Unit: 1617

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shengjun Wang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617